

||JAI SRI GURUDEVI||
SJC INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CHICKBALLAPUR
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
Project Evaluation Rubrics

Sl. No	Parameter	Max. Marks
1	Literature Review	10
2	Problem identification and definition	10
3	Significance and relevance of work	5
4	Presentation and Project report	15
Total		40

Sl. No	Parameter	$\geq 80\%$	$\geq 40\%$ to $< 80\%$	$< 40\%$
1	Literature Review	Referred to more than FIVE recent articles; appropriately summarized; includes recent references	Referred to more than THREE recent articles; appropriately summarized; includes NO recent references	NO recent references included
2	Problem identification and definition	Clearly defined the problem and limitations of existing systems	Clearly defined the problem and limitations of existing systems are not clear	Problem statement is not clear
3	Significance and relevance of work	Detailed and extensive explanation of the specifications and the limitations of the existing systems	Collects a great deal of a information and good study and existing system	Minimal Explanation of the specification system and limitation of the existing system and incomplete information
4	Presentation	Slides are well organized, clear presentation, have equal participation	Slides are not well organized, presentation not clear	Poor organization, No equal role

[Signature]
30/10/23

B. N. S. Reddy
30/10/2023

|| JAI SRI GURUDEV ||

SJC INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CHICKBALLAPUR
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
Project Evaluation Rubrics

Sl. No	Parameter	Max. Marks
1	Objectives and methodology of project	10
2	Plan of Action & Execution	5
3	Presentation	20
4	Project Synopsis report	25
Total		60

Sl. No	Parameter	$\geq 80\%$	$\geq 40\%$ to $< 80\%$	$< 40\%$
1	Objectives and Methodology of Project	All objectives of the proposed work are well defined; Steps to be followed to solve the defined problem are clearly specified	Objectives of the proposed work are defined; Design methodology not properly justified	Objectives of the proposed work are not well defined; Steps are mentioned but unclear;
2	Plan of Action & Execution	Time frame properly specified and being followed with clear distribution of workload among the team members	Time frame properly specified and but not followed with distribution of workload among the team members	Time frame not specified properly. NO proper distribution of workload
3	Presentation	Slides are well organized, clear presentation, have equal participation	Slides are not well organized, presentation not clear	Poor organization, No equal role
4	Project report	The Project report is well organized and All necessary details are included.	The Project report is not well organized.	The Project report is NOT submitted by the deadline

[Signature]
5/11/2023

[Signature]
5/12/2023

||JAI SRI GURUDEV||
SJC INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CHICKBALLAPUR
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
Project Evaluation Rubrics

Sl. No	Parameter	Max. Marks
1	Plan of Action & Execution	5
2	Implementation/Results and outcome of the project	5
3	Presentation	5
4	The Modern Tool	5
Total		20

Sl. No	Parameter	≥80%	≥40% to <80%	<40%
1	Plan of Action & Execution	Time frame properly specified and being followed with clear distribution of workload among the team members	Time frame properly specified and but not followed with distribution of workload among the team members	Time frame not specified properly. NO proper distribution of workload
2	Implementation/Results and outcome of the project	Implemented for all defined objectives. Clearly specified the outcome of the project and also successfully implemented the same.	Partially Implemented for defined objectives. Clearly specified the outcome of the project however was NOT successful in its implementation.	NOT clearly specified the outcome of the project.
3	Presentation	Slides are well organized, clear presentation, have equal participation	Slides are not well organized, presentation not clear	Poor organization, No equal role
4	The Modern Tool	Clear justification in selecting the Tools/Hardware being used is provided	Partial justification in selecting the Tools/Hardware being used is provided	No justification

[Signature]
04/03/24

[Signature]
6/3/24

[JAI SRI GURUDEV]
SJC INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CHICKBALLAPUR
DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS & COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
Project Evaluation Rubrics

Sl. No	Parameter	Max. Marks
1	Demonstration	5
2	Presentation	5
3	Results, discussions and conclusion	5
4	Project report and IEEE Paper	5
Total		20

Sl. No	Parameter	≥80%	≥40% to <80%	<40%
1	Demonstration	Each module working well and properly demonstrated All modules of project are well integrated and system working is accurate	Each module working well and Properly demonstrated Integration of all modules not done and system working is not very satisfactory.	Modules are working well in isolation and properly demonstrated Modules of project are not Properly integrated.
2	Presentation	Slides are well organized, clear presentation, have equal participation	Slides are not well organized, presentation not clear	Poor organization, No equal role
3	Implementation, Results, discussions and conclusion	Clearly specified the outcome of the project and also successfully implemented the same.	Partially Implemented for defined objectives. Clearly specified the outcome of the project however was NOT successful in its implementation.	NOT clearly specified the outcome of the project.
4	Project report and IEEE Paper	The Project report is well organized and All necessary details are included. IEEE paper is well organized	The Project report is not well organized. Only few details are included for IEEE paper.	The Project report is NOT submitted by the deadline The IEEE paper format NOT included

[Signature]
6/3/24

[Signature]
6/3/24